

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/925,559

| | |
|--------------|--|
| Applicant(s) | |
|--------------|--|

CAVAZOS-GUTIERREZ,
RODRIGO

Examiner

Callie E. Shosho

| | |
|----------|--|
| Art Unit | |
|----------|--|

1714

All Participants:

(1) Callie E. Shosho.

(2) Jay Cinamon.

Date of Interview: 5/13/04

Status of Application: *Allowed*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time:

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

9,12,13

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. *Part II above.*
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Mr. Cinamon in order to clarify claim 9 and to cancel claims 12-13 which were drawn to non-elected invention and not subject to being rejoined with the allowable product claims given that claims 12 and 13 did not include all the limitations of the allowable product claims 3-5 and 14.